



The world cafe was equipped with 4 small round tables. Each table consisted of one host and 5 group members. Three chairs were involved to organise this session. Three topics namely Tri-sectoral Cooperation, International Cooperation and Research Cooperation were discussed on this session. Each chair posed single question on each topic, to the table host and group members. They were allowed to discuss on the question and come up with answers for each question, with a time interval of 20 minutes. Color codes were used by the table host to differentiate the answers: i.e. Black for the first, red for the second and green for the last. After each question, only group members were asked to exchange the groups and not the table host. The table hosts were randomly selected to summarise their answers for each question. Finally, the chair summed-up the session with the key aspects of the discussion from each group.

### **1. Tri-sectoral Cooperation**

Cooperation between Civil society and Business are better than cooperation between State Government and Business- Is this hypothesis true?

### **2. International Cooperation**

How could megacities learn from each other, if they have similar problem. In other words, how can be global cooperation look like?

### **3. Research Cooperation**

How the research methodologies, ideas developed by megacity research partners could be made in to reality/practice?

### **Key Messages of the Session**

- There is a need to develop cooperation schemes among Megacities at an early stage of projects.
- Ensure continuity of the developed cooperation schemes even after the end of the megacity projects, so that it would pose mutual benefits among megacities.



Table hosts	Results of discussions		
	Tri-sectoral cooperation	International cooperation	Research cooperation
1	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This co-operation could be varied depending on countries and context</li> <li>2. Civil society-family, NGOs should be made to integrate with State Govt, for the effective planning and implementation of strategies</li> <li>3. Hypothesis is false</li> </ol>		<p>This could be made possible by following ways:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Bilateral transformation among countries and not unilateral</li> <li>2. Conceptualised technologies should be developed</li> <li>3. Collaboration among countries, services departments should be made</li> </ol>
2	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No specific solution could be derived as it is case specific</li> <li>2. Business vary from small scale to large scale, which is difficult to integrate with civil society</li> <li>3. Hypothesis is false</li> </ol>		
3		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Alliance of powerful at global level could be developed</li> <li>2. Share of technical power, man-power, economic power and dynamics among megacities should be made possible</li> <li>3. Continual learning process among these should be made, so that each megacity aware of other megacity problem and so enhance a common voice against similar problem</li> </ol>	
4		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Existing cooperation mechanism like ECLAVE, C40 should be analysed, to know whether their focus is similar with megacity project focus</li> <li>2. Technical exchange would easier than Governance exchange among megacities.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Scientific process should be integrated with decision making</li> <li>2. Research methodologies should be made with reasonable political support</li> <li>3. International research team should be formed, so that the implementation process would be made easier</li> </ol>